

General Assembly

Raised Bill No. 380

February Session, 2016

LCO No. 2547

	*	SB00380ED	032116	*
--	---	-----------	--------	---

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION

Introduced by: (ED)

AN ACT CONCERNING THE EXCLUSION OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE RESULTS ON THE MASTERY EXAMINATION FROM TEACHER EVALUATIONS.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

- 1 Section 1. Section 10-151b of the 2016 supplement to the general
- 2 statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof
- 3 (Effective from passage):
- 4 (a) The superintendent of each local or regional board of education
- 5 shall annually evaluate or cause to be evaluated each teacher, and for
- 6 the school year commencing July 1, 2013, and each school year
- 7 thereafter, such annual evaluations shall be the teacher evaluation and
- 8 support program adopted pursuant to subsection (b) of this section.
- 9 The superintendent may conduct additional formative evaluations
- 10 toward producing an annual summative evaluation. An evaluation
- 11 pursuant to this subsection shall include, but need not be limited to,
- 12 strengths, areas needing improvement, strategies for improvement and
- 13 multiple indicators of student academic growth. For any evaluation
- 14 conducted for the school year commencing July 1, 2016, and each
- 15 school year thereafter, such multiple indicators of student academic

growth shall not include the use of student performance data on the state-wide mastery examination pursuant to section 10-14n. Claims of failure to follow the established procedures of such teacher evaluation and support program shall be subject to the grievance procedure in collective bargaining agreements negotiated subsequent to July 1, 2004. In the event that a teacher does not receive a summative evaluation during the school year, such teacher shall receive a "not rated" designation for such school year. The superintendent shall report (1) the status of teacher evaluations to the local or regional board of education on or before June first of each year, and (2) the status of the implementation of the teacher evaluation and support program, including the frequency of evaluations, aggregate evaluation ratings, the number of teachers who have not been evaluated and other requirements as determined by the Department of Education, to the Commissioner of Education on or before September fifteenth of each year. For purposes of this section, the term "teacher" shall include each professional employee of a board of education, below the rank of superintendent, who holds a certificate or permit issued by the State Board of Education.

(b) Except as provided in subsection (d) of this section, not later than September 1, [2013] 2016, each local and regional board of education shall adopt and implement a teacher evaluation and support program that is consistent with the guidelines for a model teacher evaluation and support program adopted by the State Board of Education, pursuant to subsection (c) of this section. Such teacher evaluation and support program shall be developed through mutual agreement between the local or regional board of education and the professional development and evaluation committee for the school district, established pursuant to subsection (b) of section 10-220a. If a local or regional board of education is unable to develop a teacher evaluation and support program through mutual agreement with such professional development and evaluation committee, then such board of education and such professional development and evaluation committee shall consider the model teacher evaluation and support

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

program adopted by the State Board of Education, pursuant to subsection (c) of this section, and such board of education may adopt, through mutual agreement with such professional development and evaluation committee, such model teacher evaluation and support program. If a local or regional board of education and the professional development and evaluation committee are unable to mutually agree on the adoption of such model teacher evaluation and support program, then such board of education shall adopt and implement a teacher evaluation and support program developed by such board of education, provided such teacher evaluation and support program is consistent with the guidelines adopted by the State Board of Education, pursuant to subsection (c) of this section. Each local and regional board of education may commence implementation of the teacher evaluation and support program adopted pursuant to this subsection in accordance with a teacher evaluation and support program implementation plan adopted pursuant to subsection (d) of this section.

(c) (1) On or before [July 1, 2012] August 15, 2016, the State Board of Education shall adopt, in consultation with the Performance Evaluation Advisory Council established pursuant to section 10-151d, guidelines for a model teacher evaluation and support program. Such guidelines shall include, but not be limited to, (A) the use of four performance evaluations designators: Exemplary, proficient, developing and below standard; (B) subject to the provisions of subdivision (3) of this subsection, the use of multiple indicators of student academic growth and development in teacher evaluations that do not include the use of student performance data on the state-wide mastery examination pursuant to section 10-14n; (C) methods for assessing student academic growth and development; (D) a consideration of control factors tracked by the state-wide public school information system, pursuant to subsection (c) of section 10-10a, that may influence teacher performance ratings, including, but not limited to, student characteristics, student attendance and student mobility; (E) minimum requirements for teacher evaluation instruments and

50 51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67 68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

procedures, including scoring systems to determine exemplary, developing and below standard ratings; proficient, development and implementation of periodic training programs regarding the teacher evaluation and support program to be offered by the local or regional board of education or regional educational service center for the school district to teachers who are employed by such local or regional board of education and whose performance is being evaluated and to administrators who are employed by such local or regional board of education and who are conducting performance evaluations; (G) the provision of professional development services based on the individual or group of individuals' needs that are identified through the evaluation process; (H) the creation of individual teacher improvement and remediation plans for teachers whose performance is developing or below standard, designed in consultation with such teacher and his or her exclusive bargaining representative for certified teachers chosen pursuant to section 10-153b, and that (i) identify resources, support and other strategies to be provided by the local or regional board of education to address documented deficiencies, (ii) indicate a timeline for implementing such resources, support, and other strategies, in the course of the same school year as the plan is issued, and (iii) include indicators of success including a summative rating of proficient or better immediately at the conclusion of the improvement and remediation plan; (I) opportunities for career development and professional growth; and (J) a validation procedure to audit evaluation ratings of exemplary or below standard by the department or a third-party entity approved by the department.

(2) The State Board of Education shall, following the completion of the teacher evaluation and support pilot program, pursuant to section 10-151f, and the submission of the study of such pilot program, pursuant to section 10-151g, review and may revise, as necessary, the guidelines for a model teacher evaluation and support program and the model teacher evaluation and support program adopted under this subsection.

84

85

86

87 88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96 97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

(3) Not later than August 1, 2016, the State Board of Education shall revise the guidelines for a model teacher evaluation and support program and the model teacher evaluation and support program, adopted under this subsection, to exclude the use of student performance data on the state-wide mastery examination, pursuant to section 10-14n. The state board, in consultation with the Performance Evaluation Advisory Council, may reconsider how much weight shall be given to multiple indicators of student academic growth and development in teacher evaluations and revise, as necessary, such guidelines for a model teacher evaluation and support program and the model teacher evaluation and support program.

(d) A local or regional board of education may phase in full implementation of the teacher evaluation and support program adopted pursuant to subsection (b) of this section during the school years commencing July 1, 2013, and July 1, 2014, pursuant to a teacher evaluation and support program implementation plan adopted by the State Board of Education, in consultation with the Performance Evaluation Advisory Council, not later than July 1, 2013. The Commissioner of Education may waive the provisions of subsection (b) of this section and the implementation plan provisions of this subsection for any local or regional board of education that has expressed an intent, not later than July 1, 2013, to adopt a teacher evaluation program for which such board requests a waiver in accordance with this subsection.

This act sha sections:	ll take effect as follov	vs and shall amend the following
Section 1	from passage	10-151b

ED Joint Favorable